Michael K Jeanes, Clerk of Court *** Electronically Filed *** M. Mogel, Deputy 7/1/2016 4:39:00 PM Filing ID 7541474

| | Jeremy D. Tedesco (Arizona Bar No. 023497) | |
|--|---|---|
| 2 | jtedesco@adflegal.org | |
| | Jonathan A. Scruggs (Arizona Bar No. 030505) | |
| 3 | jscruggs@adflegal.org Samuel D. Green (Arizona Bar No. 032586) | |
| 4 | sgreen@adflegal.org | |
| 7 | Alliance Defending Freedom | |
| 5 | 15100 N. 90th Street | |
| 6 | Scottsdale, Arizona 85260 | |
| | Telephone: (480) 444-0020 | |
| 7 | Facsimile: (480) 444-0028 | |
| 8 | Roberta S. Livesay (Arizona Bar No. 010982) | |
| 9 | Livesay.roberta@hlwaz.com Helm, Livesay & Worthington, LTD | |
| 10 | 1619 E. Guadalupe, Suite One Tempe, Arizona 85283 | |
| 11 | Telephone: (480) 345-9500 | |
| 12 | Fax: (480) 345-6559 | |
| 13 | Attorneys for Plaintiffs | |
| 14 | | |
| * ' | | |
| 1 | IN THE SUPERIOR COUR | T OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA |
| 15 | | |
| 15 16 | | T OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA |
| | | |
| 16 17 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUSH & NIB STUDIO, LC, a limited liability company; BREANNA KOSKI; and | COUNTY OF MARICOPA |
| 16 17 18 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUSH & NIB STUDIO, LC, a limited | |
| 16 17 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUSH & NIB STUDIO, LC, a limited liability company; BREANNA KOSKI; and | COUNTY OF MARICOPA |
| 16 17 18 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUSH & NIB STUDIO, LC, a limited liability company; BREANNA KOSKI; and JOANNA DUKA, | COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2016-052251 SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED |
| 16 17 18 19 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUSH & NIB STUDIO, LC, a limited liability company; BREANNA KOSKI; and JOANNA DUKA, | COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2016-052251 |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUSH & NIB STUDIO, LC, a limited liability company; BREANNA KOSKI; and JOANNA DUKA, Plaintiffs, | COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2016-052251 SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX, IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX, IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX OF PHOENIX, | COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2016-052251 SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUST & NIB STUDIO, LC, a limited liability company; BREANNA KOSKI; and JOANNA DUKA, Plaintiffs, v. | COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2016-052251 SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX, IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX, IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX OF PHOENIX, | COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2016-052251 SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX, IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX, IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX OF PHOENIX, | COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2016-052251 SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX, IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX, IN AND FOR THE OBJUST OF PHOENIX OF PHOENIX, | COUNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2016-052251 SECOND AMENDED VERIFIED |

hand-painting.

- 12. Over the next few months, Joanna and Breanna committed to starting a calligraphy and hand-painting business together and discussed and implemented their plans to do so.
- 13. In April 2015, they picked the name Brush & Nib Studio for their company to reflect its artistic nature and their equal artistic contributions to the company.
- 14. In April 2015, they launched Brush & Nib's Instagram account (http://www.instagram.com/brushandnib/) and website (http://www.brushandnib.com/).
- 15. And on May 21, 2015, Brush & Nib began to formally accept orders from the general public, fill orders, and do business in Phoenix and has done business in Phoenix ever since.
- 16. Brush & Nib is an upscale hand-painting, hand-lettering, and calligraphy company that specializes in hand-painting, hand-lettering, and calligraphy for weddings, events, special occasions, business, home decor, and everyday moments.
 - 17. Brush & Nib offers all its artwork and artistic services for a price to the general public.
 - 18. Brush & Nib creates and sells custom and pre-made works.

Eight days a week: Brush & Nib creates, sells, and promotes art

- 19. As for these custom works, Joanna and Breanna know how they will be used; Joanna or Breanna design these works with a particular event or use in mind; Joanna or Breanna collaborate with the client before creating these works; and Joanna or Breanna add unique elements to each custom work, and these elements reflect a particular event or usage.
- 20. Joanna and Breanna design these custom elements to convey a particular message about each client's event or requested usage.
- 21. By their very nature, all of Brush & Nib's wedding creations contain a large custom component because each wedding has a different bride or groom, different location, different date, and different artistic scheme.
- 22. Brush & Nib's wedding creations always reflect, contain content about, and convey messages about a particular engaged couple, their upcoming marriage, their upcoming marriage ceremony, and the celebration of that marriage.
 - 23. The content and meaning of Brush & Nib's wedding creations change for each wedding.

- 24. Besides its wedding artwork, Brush & Nib also creates artwork for businesses and non-profit organizations, including business cards, logos, stationary, letterhead, and signs.
- 25. Because organizations differ by name, location, services, branding, and purpose, Brush & Nib's organization-related works always contain a large custom component.

The portfolio: Brush & Nib's painting, hand-lettering, and calligraphy

- 26. Brush & Nib creates artwork containing hand-drawn and handwritten paintings, drawings, decorative letters, or words through processes known as lettering and hand-painting.
- 27. Most Brush & Nib works contain both lettering (calligraphy or hand-lettering) and hand-painting.
 - 28. Calligraphy is the visual art of writing decorative letters.
 - 29. Hand-lettering is the visual art of drawing letters.
 - 30. Hand-painting is the visual art of painting by hand.
- 31. Joanna and Breanna create calligraphy, hand-lettering, and paintings that uniquely reflect their respective techniques, tastes, and inspirations.

The process: Brush & Nib creates and customizes art for client events

- 32. When a customer requests Brush & Nib to create custom artwork, Joanna or Breanna typically works closely with the customer because Brush & Nib creates a unique work customized for each client and for each client's event.
- 33. During this process, clients usually have a very general idea of what they want, they convey that idea to Joanna or Breanna, and they then rely heavily on Joanna and Breanna their suggestions, judgment, talent, and discretion to imagine a plan and vision for the requested work.
- 34. During conversations with clients, Joanna or Breanna educate the clients about what will look good, offer their own suggestions about how to make the requested work look as beautiful as possible, provide advice about what text, phrasing, and words to use (if the requested work contains words), and propose their vision for what the requested work should look like and convey.
- 35. For example, when customers request custom wedding invitations, Joanna or Breanna frequently suggest the particular words to use on that invitation to convey the appropriate celebratory

message through that invitation.

- 36. Brush & Nib also obtains information about the client's event because that information affects what and how Joanna and Breanna create.
- 37. Brush & Nib tailors its custom artwork for each individual client and for each client's particular event and to convey particular messages about each client's particular event or usage.
- 38. When Brush & Nib creates a custom work for a particular event, that event, that event's context, and that event's substance always impact the form Brush & Nib uses and the message Brush & Nib attempts to convey in its custom artwork.
- 39. In fact, Joanna and Breanna view their clients' tastes and events as part of the raw materials that they then reshape to convey their artistic vision in each work.
- 40. During the creation process, Joanna and Breanna collaborate with each other and discuss their artistic vision for the work in light of the work's use and context.
- 41. During this process, Joanna and Breanna often discuss and evaluate how numerous artistic elements work together in the final work, and they use these elements to create artwork with the appropriate aesthetic and message and artwork distinctive of their style.
- 42. Joanna and Breanna's process for creating custom works requires great concentration, focus, attention to detail, and artistry.
- 43. Although clients sometimes propose a general idea of what they want and typically collaborate with Joanna and Breanna, Joanna and Breanna ultimately create the artistic vision, the artistic message, and the finalized artwork.
- 44. Joanna and Breanna retain complete artistic freedom and control over the artistic process and reserve the right to reject any suggestion, demand, or request clients make for custom works.

The property: Brush & Nib authors and owns its art

- 45. Brush & Nib authors, owns, and speaks through its custom art.
- 46. Brush & Nib's clients do not author Brush & Nib's custom artwork or negate the message Brush & Nib expresses through its artwork.
 - 47. Every Brush & Nib artwork constitutes a pictorial and/or graphic work and involves a

high degree of originality and creativity.

- 48. According to the contract Brush & Nib signs with its customers, Brush & Nib reserves the right to control how it creates its custom artwork, its clients hire Brush & Nib as an independent contractor, and Brush & Nib's custom artwork does not constitute a work for hire.
- 49. Brush & Nib clients do not own designs created by Brush & Nib, and Brush & Nib retains ownership of its design even after clients buy a work.
- 50. Since mid-February 2016, Brush & Nib has placed a self-identifying mark on its custom works.
- 51. Brush & Nib will continue to place this mark on its custom works because, in Joanna and Breanna's artistic judgment, they want Brush & Nib to identify with and be identified with its custom artwork and to promote its artistic talent.
 - 52. This mark identifies Brush & Nib as the owner, author, and speaker for its custom works.
- 53. But this mark is not necessary to identify Brush & Nib as the creator of its custom artwork. Wedding invitees commonly ask the bride, groom, or other members of the wedding party to identify who created the wedding save-the-dates, wedding invitations, wedding programs, wedding place cards, wedding escort cards, or wedding menus.
 - 54. Brush & Nib places this mark on every custom wedding invitation.
- 55. Every Brush & Nib custom work speaks for Brush & Nib, Joanna, or Breanna and reflects their vision of beauty, artistic skill, commitment to excellence, and numerous hours of planning, writing, drawing, or painting.
- The passion: Joanna & Breanna's religious beliefs fuel Brush & Nib's art
 - 56. Joanna and Breanna are evangelical Christians who hold to historic Christian beliefs.
- 57. Joanna and Breanna believe that God calls, equips, and commands them to work and they therefore cannot separate their religious duties, identity, or beliefs into work and non-work, secular and sacred, private and public.
- 58. As a result, Joanna and Breanna cannot do anything in their business that violates their religious beliefs or dishonors God.

- 59. Joanna and Breanna also believe that they reflect God in the way they create and that God has called them to be artists and equipped them with special talents to create art through calligraphy, hand-painting, and hand-lettering.
- 60. Because God has called and equipped them to be artists, Joanna and Breanna believe that they must honor, worship, and glorify God with their artistic talents and their artwork.
- 61. Joanna and Breanna also believe that God's character provides the absolute standard for defining goodness, truth, and beauty; that all art should reflect, incarnate, cohere with, and promote goodness, truth, and beauty; and that God demands art to reflect, incarnate, cohere with, and promote goodness, truth, and beauty.
- 62. For Joanna and Breanna, the very purpose of art theirs included is to glorify God and to enchant the viewer with an image of goodness, truth, and beauty that in turn points the viewer to God.
- 63. As a result, Joanna and Breanna seek to create art that promotes and conveys a message that the beauty around us reflects a true and perfect beauty worthy of praise, namely the true and perfect beauty that is and reflects God.
- 64. Joanna and Breanna would violate their religious beliefs, violate their conscience, dishonor their artistic calling and talents, and dishonor God if they used their artistic talents to create artwork that is or promotes anything that is immoral, idolatrous, or dishonorable to God.
- 65. Joanna and Breanna believe that they cannot rejoice in, condone, participate in, or promote anything dishonorable to God.
- 66. Because of this religious belief and their religious beliefs about art and work, Joanna and Breanna believe they cannot use their artistic talents or their business activities to rejoice in, condone, participate in, or promote anything dishonorable to God.
- 67. Joanna and Breanna believe that God created two distinct genders in His image, that God ordained marriage to be between one man and one woman, that God intends for all sexual activity to occur within this one-man/one-woman marriage covenant, and that all sexual acts outside this one-man/one-woman marriage covenant including adulterous, polygamous, or homosexual behavior violate God's will.

- 68. Joanna and Breanna believe that when they create custom wedding-related artwork they participate in, celebrate, and promote those wedding ceremonies and the marriages celebrated at those ceremonies and they convey celebratory, affirming, and promotional messages about those marriages and wedding ceremonies.
- 69. For this reason, Joanna and Breanna cannot create custom artwork for any wedding ceremony not between one man and one woman (like a same-sex wedding ceremony). To do so would violate their religious beliefs, promote activities contrary to their religious beliefs, and express messages contradicting their religious beliefs.
- 70. Likewise, Joanna and Breanna cannot create custom business logos, signs, business cards, or any other custom work for organizations that promote sexual activity outside of one-man/one-woman marriage (like same-sex marriage). To do so would violate their religious beliefs, promote activities contrary to their religious beliefs, and express messages contradicting their religious beliefs.
- 71. Joanna and Breanna also believe they must love their neighbor and everyone deserves to be treated with dignity and respect regardless of their race, religion, age, sex, sexual orientation, or political beliefs.
- 72. Joanna and Breanna believe they must be upfront and honest with their customers and respectful toward their customers and their customers' time.
- 73. For these reasons, Joanna and Breanna would violate their religious duties if they lied to customers about what Brush & Nib could create or if they let their customers falsely assume that they will create art when they cannot do so.
- 74. Likewise, to love others and to be upfront and honest with their customers, Joanna and Breanna cannot hide the religious inspiration behind their art. Joanna and Breanna must tell others about how their faith impacts their art and their artistic message.
- 75. To fulfill their religious obligations, Joanna and Breanna want to acknowledge and explain their religious beliefs and their artistic vision on Brush & Nib's website.
- 76. Joanna and Breanna do not object to and will happily create custom artwork for lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender clients or for organizations run by lesbian, gay, bisexual, or transgender

persons so long as that artwork does not violate Joanna and Breanna's artistic and religious beliefs, as is the case for all of their customers.

- 77. Joanna and Breanna do not consider customers' sexual orientations when they decide whether to create artwork for customers.
- 78. Likewise, Joanna and Breanna do not object to and will happily sell their pre-made works to anyone, to any organization, and for any event, but Joanna and Breanna cannot create custom artwork that conveys messages condoning, supporting, or participating in activities or ideas that violate their religious beliefs, such as custom artwork for same-sex wedding ceremonies.

Walking the line: Brush & Nib conveys Joanna & Breanna's beliefs

- 79. As artists and entrepreneurs, Joanna and Breanna attempt to tell the general public about their art, their business, and their artistic message through Brush & Nib's media platforms.
- 80. On many of its media platforms, Brush & Nib displays examples of and discusses and explains its art.
- 81. Because of its art's visual nature, Brush & Nib must display and explain its art to the public to effectively communicate its artistic message and to effectively tell people about its business.
- 82. Without the freedom to display and explain its art to the general public, Brush & Nib could not compete in the marketplace and could not convey its artistic message.
- 83. Because Brush & Nib informs the public about its artwork through the internet, Brush & Nib receives requests for custom artwork from customers across the United States.
 - 84. Brush & Nib can also ship its artwork to customers across the United States.
- 85. Calligraphy and hand-lettering businesses like Brush & Nib typically serve clients across the country and world because these businesses can easily ship their works almost anywhere.
- 86. Joanna and Breanna describe their artistic vision and skills and their religious inspiration on Brush & Nib's media platforms. For example, Brush & Nib's website contains statements indicating and promoting Joanna and Breanna's religious and artistic beliefs.

Bad moon rising: the threat to Joanna and Breanna's artistic and religious freedom

87. Because of events they attended and news reports they saw and because they discuss their

faith on Brush & Nib's media platforms, Joanna and Breanna became concerned about their ability to create artwork consistent with their faith on the topic of marriage.

- 88. In April 2015, Breanna and Joanna began discussing this issue, they looked at Bible passages that discussed marriage, homosexuality, and how Christians should respond to those who disagreed with them, and they discussed how these passages applied to them and their business.
- 89. Breanna and Joanna agreed that they and their business could not create custom art celebrating and promoting events, like same-sex wedding ceremonies, that violate their religious beliefs.
- 90. Joanna also decided that she should seek legal advice to learn about her company's potential liability and her right to create artwork consistent with her religious beliefs.
- 91. Then, on June 26, 2015, the Supreme Court issued its *Obergefell v. Hodges* decision creating a constitutional right to same-sex marriage.
- 92. During the next few days, Breanna and Joanna noticed the outpouring of support on social media in favor of the *Obergefell* decision and noticed that many artists and artistic businesses expressed support for *Obergefell* and even created artwork to show support for same-sex marriage.
- 93. In light of this outpouring of support, Breanna and Joanna felt an even stronger urgency to learn about their rights and potential liability.
- 94. But after Breanna and Joanna sought legal advice, they learned about an ordinance that restricted their freedom to speak and to create Phoenix City Code § 18.

Brave new world: Phoenix amends City Code § 18, restricts artistic and religious freedom

- 95. On February 26, 2013, the Phoenix City Council amended its Human Relations Ordinance (Phoenix City Code Chapter 18) by a 5-3 vote and prohibited public accommodations from discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation.
- 96. This amendment was controversial. The February 26 vote occurred immediately after a public hearing in Orpheum Theatre, around 500 people attended this hearing that lasted around five hours, and people spoke for and against the proposed amendment at this hearing. Some said the amendment would violate the freedoms and rights of Phoenix citizens.
 - 97. Brush & Nib qualifies as a "public accommodation" under City Code § 18.

- 98. Accordingly, the § 18-4(B)(1-2) prohibition on sexual orientation discrimination applies to Brush & Nib and its operations.
- 99. Phoenix interprets § 18-4(B)(1-2) to bar public accommodations from declining to provide a service to same-sex couples that it would provide to opposite-sex couples.
- 100. Phoenix interprets § 18-4(B)(1-2) to require public accommodations to provide any service to same-sex couples that public accommodations would also provide to opposite-sex couples.
- 101. The § 18-4(B)(3) prohibition on publicizing statements applies to Brush & Nib and its operations.
- 102. Phoenix interprets § 18-4(B)(3) to bar public accommodations and their owners from publicizing statements indicating an intent to decline to provide services in the same-sex marriage context that the public accommodation would provide in the opposite-sex marriage context.
- 103. Phoenix interprets § 18-4(B)(3) to bar public accommodations and their owners from publicizing statements exclusively supporting one-man/one-woman marriage or statements opposing same-sex marriage.
- 104. City Code § 18 empowers the Phoenix Equal Opportunity Department (the Department) and the Phoenix City Attorney to enforce § 18.
- 105. A member of the Department or a private citizen can file a complaint with the Department alleging a violation of City Code § 18-4(B).
 - 106. The Department may also initiate enforcement action under City Code § 18.
- 107. Once someone files a complaint or the Department initiates enforcement, the Department begins to investigate the matter, then issues a report of its findings, and then seeks to resolve the matter through informal means.
- 108. If these informal means do not stop the alleged discrimination, the Department refers the case to the City Attorney for criminal prosecution.
- 109. City Code § 18-7 imposes a Class 1 misdemeanor for violating § 18-4. City Code § 1-5 specifies the penalties for a Class 1 misdemeanor, and those penalties include fines not exceeding \$2500 or imprisonment not exceeding six months or probation not exceeding three years or any combination of

these for each day a person commits a violation.

- 110. Accordingly, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna commit a separate and distinct misdemeanor each day they follow their religious beliefs and a) decline to create art for a same-sex wedding ceremony or b) publicize a statement indicating their intent to decline to create artwork for a same-sex wedding ceremony or c) publicize a statement that states or implies same-sex couples are unwelcome, objectionable, unacceptable, undesirable or not solicited.
- 111. City Code § 18-4 uses criminal penalties to prohibit four things that Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna want and feel compelled to do because of their artistic and religious beliefs:
- Decline requests to create custom artwork that violates Joanna and Breanna's religious and artistic beliefs, including any custom artwork for or supporting same-sex wedding ceremonies.
- Publicize a statement on Brush & Nib's website that explains Joanna and Breanna's religious beliefs in favor of one-man/one-woman marriage exclusively.
- Publicize a statement on Brush & Nib's website that states an intent to decline to create custom artwork for or supporting same-sex wedding ceremonies.
- Publicize a statement on Brush & Nib's website that explains why Joanna and Breanna cannot create
 custom artwork that violates their artistic and religious beliefs, including any custom artwork for or
 supporting same-sex wedding ceremonies.

Worst fears confirmed: Phoenix interprets City Code § 18 to restrict artistic and religious freedom

- 112. Through a public records request, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna learned of documents indicating how Phoenix interprets and applies City Code § 18-4.
- 113. According to these documents, a same-sex couple complained to the Department in 2015 about a for-profit business that allegedly discriminated against them when that business's owner declined to provide services to them because of the owner's religious beliefs against same-sex marriage.
- 114. An ordained minister operated that wedding business, this business offered ministerial services, photography services, tables, and chairs for weddings, and this business advertises and solicits to the general public through a website.
 - 115. Upon information and belief, this wedding business does not have a physical storefront.

- 116. After receiving the complaint, the Department investigated and issued a final investigative report finding that the wedding business engaged in a denial of service based on protected status and that the business did not qualify for City Code § 18-4's religious organization exemption.
- 117. Despite these conclusions, the report found insufficient evidence of discrimination because clergy have federal protections and because complainants could not prove that "they were only seeking tables, chairs, or photography services" as opposed to ministerial services.
- 118. Neither Joanna nor Breanna is an ordained minister, and Brush & Nib does not offer ministerial services.
- 119. According to the final investigative report, City Code § 18 requires for-profit businesses regardless of their owners' religious beliefs to offer photography services to same-sex couples for their wedding ceremonies if businesses offer the same services to opposite-sex couples, and City Code § 18 requires for-profit businesses to create artwork like photography for same-sex wedding ceremonies if businesses offer to create the same artwork for opposite-sex wedding ceremonies.
- 120. The final investigative report's logic and conclusions demonstrate that Phoenix interprets City Code § 18 to require Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna to create custom artwork for same-sex wedding ceremonies and to prohibit Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna from publicizing their desired statements on Brush & Nib's website or to Brush & Nib customers.
- 121. The Department affirmed and adopted the final investigative report's logic, conclusions, and ruling in an order signed by the Department's Director.
- 122. The Department has only received two complaints of public accommodations discriminating on the basis of sexual orientation, and the Department did not find a for-cause showing of discrimination in either case.
- 123. The Department has never received or investigated a complaint of a public accommodation publishing a statement declining to serve someone based on sexual orientation.
- The dotted line: Joanna & Breanna codify their beliefs in Brush & Nib's documents
- 124. After discussing their artistic and religious beliefs in the spring of 2015 and learning about City Code § 18-4, Joanna and Breanna amended Brush & Nib's business documents.

- 125. These amendments did not change how Brush & Nib operated with respect to their beliefs; they merely confirmed and codified Brush & Nib's pre-existing beliefs in a joint statement that would formally bind their business.
- 126. Brush & Nib's Operating Agreement accurately identifies Brush & Nib's, Joanna's, and Breanna's core beliefs, values, goals, and operating procedures for Brush & Nib.
 - 127. Brush & Nib abides by its Operating Agreement.
- 128. Brush & Nib's purpose is to create authentic artwork that echoes God's perfect and true beauty, Brush & Nib reserves the right to decline requests for any custom artwork that violates its owners' artistic or religious beliefs, and Brush & Nib's policy is to decline to create or sell any custom artwork that violates its owners' artistic or religious beliefs, such as artwork for same-sex wedding ceremonies.

Pledge allegiance: City Code § 18 compels artists to create objectionable art

- 129. Because § 18-4(B)(1-2) requires Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna to create artwork that violates their religious and artistic beliefs, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna face an impossible choice between three options.
- 130. First, they could receive and decline a request to create artwork that violates their artistic and religious beliefs, such as custom artwork for same-sex wedding ceremonies.
- 131. But if Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna declined such a request or if they even delayed in responding to such a request because of their artistic and religious beliefs about one-man/one-woman marriage, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna would violate the law.
- 132. In fact, on May 20, 2016, Brush & Nib received a request to create custom, wedding-related artwork for and promoting a wedding ceremony between two women.
- 133. To avoid violating their artistic and religious beliefs and the law, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna will not respond to this request until their constitutional and statutory rights are clarified.
- 134. Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna are reasonably sure to receive additional requests in the future to create custom art for same-sex wedding ceremonies.
 - 135. Second, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna could stop creating art for all weddings,

businesses, and non-profit entities and close their business.

- 136. But this option would violate God's call to Joanna and Breanna to use their artistic talents and gifts, and they do not want to close their business, stop creating art, or leave the calligraphy/hand-painting industry merely because they follow their artistic and religious beliefs.
- 137. Third, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna could create custom artwork that violates their artistic and religious beliefs. But Joanna and Breanna will not choose this third option because they will not compromise or violate their artistic and religious beliefs by creating art that is inconsistent with God's design for marriage.
- 138. Thus, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna cannot take any of the three options discussed above without suffering severe harm.
- 139. Because Joanna and Breanna cannot follow any of these options, they cannot presently plan ahead for their business and do not know if and how they can operate their business in the future.
- 140. Faced with the three impossible options described above, Joanna and Breanna would rather close their business or violate the law than violate their artistic and religious beliefs.
- 141. When customers request Brush & Nib to create custom art that violates Joanna or Breanna's artistic and religious beliefs, Joanna and Breanna desire to send those customers a statement explaining their artistic and religious beliefs and explaining why Brush & Nib cannot create such art. But Joanna and Breanna will not send this statement for fear of violating City Code § 18-4.

 Ignorance is strength: City Code § 18 silences statements supporting traditional marriage
- 142. Because § 18-4(B)(3) prohibits Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna from publishing statements about what they can and cannot create, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna face an impossible choice between two options.
- 143. First, Joanna and Breanna could hide their artistic and religious beliefs from their customers and the public. But Joanna and Breanna want and are religiously compelled to be upfront and authentic about their business and about their religious and artistic beliefs.
- 144. Breanna and Joanna believe they must be upfront with their customers about what they can and cannot do and how their faith affects their artistic vision, including what art they can create.

Joanna and Breanna cannot mislead customers into believing that Brush & Nib offers to create artwork it does not or holds beliefs it does not.

- 145. If customers ask Brush & Nib to create custom art for events promoting same-sex marriage, such as same-sex wedding ceremonies, Joanna or Breanna must answer that inquiry and decline to create the custom art.
- 146. Nor can Joanna and Breanna hide their religious identity or the religious inspiration behind their artwork. To honor and acknowledge God, Joanna and Breanna must explain their religious beliefs about their art, about marriage, and about what they can and cannot create.
- 147. After Joanna and Breanna saw the outpouring of support from other artists in favor of the *Obergefell* decision, they further appreciated the value of their artistic freedom, the significance of their beliefs about marriage, and the increasing need to discuss those beliefs in a public setting.
- 148. Breanna and Joanna therefore see the need and have a religious obligation for their business to publicly explain how their religious beliefs shape their business decisions about art and artistic freedom and to explain their religious beliefs about marriage.
- 49. For all these reasons, Joanna and Breanna want to publish a particular statement on Brush & Nib's website that will explain their artistic and religious beliefs as they relate to Brush & Nib and Brush & Nib's art, decline to create custom artwork for certain events including same-sex wedding ceremonies, explain Joanna and Breanna's artistic and religious reasons for not creating custom artwork for certain events including same-sex wedding ceremonies, and explain Joanna and Breanna's beliefs in support of one-man/one-woman marriage exclusively.
- 150. A true and correct copy of the exact statement Joanna and Breanna want to publish on Brush & Nib's website is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 1. This statement contains the words "click here" that link to an article (available here: http://www.desiringgod.org/articles/the-surpassing-goal-marriage-lived-for-the-glory-of-god) that further explains Joanna and Breanna's religious beliefs. Joanna and Breanna also wish to make similar statements to Brush & Nib customers.
- 151. But if Joanna and Breanna publish these statements on Brush & Nib's website or to customers, Joanna and Breanna would encounter the second option of their dilemma: they would violate

§ 18-4(B)(3).

- 152. For fear of violating § 18-4(B)(3), for fear of being investigated, and for fear of suffering criminal penalties, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna have not and will not publish the statement mentioned in ¶¶ 149-50 on Brush & Nib's website or make similar statements to customers. If not for § 18-4(B)(3), Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna would immediately publish the statement mentioned in ¶¶ 149-50 on Brush & Nib's website and make similar statements to customers.
- 153. Thus, Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna must choose between a) violating the law, undergoing investigation, and suffering fines and jail time or b) staying silent about their beliefs on art, religion, and marriage. Joanna and Breanna cannot accept either choice.

Picking sides: City Code § 18 allows others to create art and speak in favor of same-sex marriage

- 154. While Brush & Nib wants to create art and publish statements consistent with Joanna and Breanna's artistic and religious beliefs about marriage, many other artists and invitation businesses in Arizona and in other states hold different religious and artistic beliefs than Joanna and Breanna.
- 155. Therefore, many other invitation businesses and artists in Arizona and in other states can create art for events that Brush & Nib cannot, such as same-sex wedding ceremonies.
- 156. One such business is Sundance Invitations, which is based in Phoenix, does business in Phoenix, offers to create invitations for same-sex wedding ceremonies, and has a website that promotes acceptance of same-sex marriage and indicates a willingness to create art promoting same-sex marriage.
- 157. Many other Arizona calligraphy and invitation companies advertise in gay friendly publications.
- 158. Gayweddings.com contains a vendor list of "LGBTQ-Friendly Wedding Vendors" and lists 55 calligraphy and invitation companies that serve Arizona.
- 159. Upon information and belief, these 55 calligraphy and invitation companies will create artwork and invitations for same-sex wedding ceremonies in Arizona.
- 160. Besides these 55 calligraphy and invitation companies, gayweddings.com lists other calligraphy and invitation companies that do business outside of Arizona.
 - 161. Upon information and belief, these calligraphy and invitation companies will also create

artwork and invitations for same-sex wedding ceremonies.

- 162. Because calligraphy and invitation companies can ship their artwork throughout the United States, many of these companies that do business outside of Arizona will also create artwork for weddings in Arizona.
- 163. In light of the options listed above, same-sex couples in Arizona can find multiple calligraphy and invitation companies willing to create artwork for same-sex wedding ceremonies.
- 164. If Joanna or Breanna believes a potential customer has requested Brush & Nib to create custom artwork for a same-sex wedding ceremony, Joanna and Breanna want to send to that customer a statement that explains their artistic and religious beliefs, that explains why Brush & Nib cannot create the requested work, and that provides information where the customer can obtain the requested work.
- 165. Although Brush & Nib wants to send this response to anyone requesting custom artwork for same-sex wedding ceremonies, Brush & Nib will not do so for fear of violating City Code § 18.
- 166. Besides calligraphy and invitation companies, many other Arizona businesses serve same-sex wedding ceremonies and same-sex couples and many of these businesses even promote the acceptance of same-sex marriage.
- 167. For example, many Fortune 500 companies do business in Phoenix and, according to the Human Rights Campaign 2016 Corporate Equality Index, 93% of Fortune 500 businesses have policies providing special protections for LGBT employees.
- 168. City Code § 18-4(B)(3) does not prohibit artists and expressive businesses from publishing statements explaining their beliefs supporting same-sex marriage.
- 169. In fact, many Phoenix artists currently publish statements in support of same-sex marriage, such as Sundance Invitations.
- 170. And across the country, many artists and artistic businesses such as 14 Stories, Ladyfingers Letterpress, and Design with Heart Studio connect their art to their beliefs about same-sex marriage, explain how their art supports same-sex marriage, and seek to promote same-sex marriage through their art.
 - 171. Indeed, many artists create and sell artwork including wedding invitations, cards, and

their artistic motivations, and their artistic beliefs; many artists sell art and publish statements explaining their beliefs about marriage and about same-sex marriage; many artists sell art and publish statements supporting same-sex marriage; many artists sell art and publish statements connecting their artistic inspirations to their beliefs about equality, marriage, and politics; and many artists sell their art and reserve the right to decline to create artwork that violates their beliefs.

172. Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna whole-heartedly support the rights of these and other

prints – supporting same-sex marriage; many artists sell art and publish statements explaining their art,

- 172. Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna whole-heartedly support the rights of these and other artists to create and sell art consistent with their beliefs; to decline to create art inconsistent with their beliefs; to publish statements explaining their beliefs about art, marriage, religion, and politics; to publish statements reserving their right to decline to create art inconsistent with their beliefs; and to publish statements expressing support for same-sex marriage.
- 173. Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna merely want to exercise the same rights these other artists have exercised. But § 18-4 prohibits Brush & Nib, Joanna, and Breanna from doing so because of the content and viewpoint of Joanna and Breanna's religious and artistic beliefs and message.
- All in: this lawsuit raises important questions about the public's right to create and speak
- 174. This lawsuit raises issues of great public interest, including the scope of religious and artistic freedom; the proper relationship between anti-discrimination laws, freedom of speech, and religious freedom; the meaning of free speech and religious liberty; the ability of governments to target particular viewpoints; and the ability of the government to silence dissent.
- 175. These issues have garnered nationwide news coverage, and the news media has frequently covered the attempts of governments to require Christian business owners to create items for same-sex wedding ceremonies.
- 176. The news coverage about these religious liberty issues underscores the important issues raised in this case and the need for the judiciary to decide these important issues.

Allegations of Law

First Cause of Action

177. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each allegation contained in $\P \P$ 1–176 of this Complaint.

- 178. Art. 2, § 6 of the Arizona Constitution protects Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib's rights to speak, to publish speech, to create speech, to sell speech, to freely associate, to be free from content and viewpoint discrimination, to be free from unconstitutional conditions, to be free from vague laws allowing unbridled discretion, to be free from overbroad laws, to not speak, to not publish, to not create, to not sell speech, and to not associate.
- 179. Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib have not and will not engage in their protected speech to avoid violating § 18-4(B)(1-3) and to avoid incurring the penalties for violating this law.
- 180. If not for § 18-4(B)(1-3), Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib would immediately engage in their protected speech, including publishing their desired messages and declining outstanding and future requests to create objectionable artwork.
- 181. Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties currently suffer ongoing harm because of § 18-4(B)(1-3).
- 182. Because § 18-4(B)(1-3) infringes rights under Art. 2, § 6, Section 18-4(B)(1-3) and Phoenix's enforcement of it chill, deter, and restrict Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties from exercising their speech rights under Art. 2, § 6.
- 183. Phoenix does not serve any compelling or even valid interest in a narrowly tailored way by infringing on the Art. 2, § 6 rights of Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, or third parties.
- 184. Accordingly, as applied to Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib, § 18-4(B)(1-2) violates their rights guaranteed by Art. 2, § 6.
- 185. Accordingly, facially and as applied to Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties, § 18-4(B)(3) violates their rights guaranteed by Art. 2, § 6.

Second Cause of Action

- 186. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each allegation contained in \P 1–176 of this Complaint.
- 187. The Arizona Free Exercise of Religion Act (FERA) protects Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties' rights to operate, to create artwork, to speak, and to not speak in accordance with their religious beliefs.
 - 188. Section 18-4(B)(1-3) effectively prevents Joanna, Breanna, and third parties from

owning and operating an expressive business, and prevents Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties from speaking their religiously-desired and required messages, from not speaking in ways required by their faith, and from adhering to key aspects of their faith.

- 189. Section 18-4(B)(1-3) and Phoenix's enforcement of it impose severe coercive pressure on Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties to change or violate their religious beliefs.
- 190. Phoenix does not serve any compelling or even valid interest in a narrowly tailored way by infringing on the FERA rights of Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, or third parties.
- 191. Accordingly, as applied to Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib, § 18-4(B)(1-2) violates their right to freely exercise their religion as guaranteed by FERA.
- 192. Accordingly, facially and as applied to Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties, § 18-4(B)(3) violates their right to freely exercise their religion as guaranteed FERA.

Third Cause of Action

- 193. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each allegation contained in \P 1–176 of this Complaint.
- 194. Art. 20, ¶ 1 of the Arizona Constitution protects Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties' rights to operate, to create artwork, to speak, and to not speak in accordance with their religious beliefs.
- 195. Section 18-4(B)(1-3) effectively prevents Joanna, Breanna, and third parties from owning and operating an expressive business, and prevents Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties from speaking their religiously-desired and required messages, from not speaking in ways required by their faith, and from adhering to key aspects of their faith.
- 196. Section 18-4(B)(1-3) and Phoenix's enforcement of it impose severe coercive pressure on Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties to change or violate their religious beliefs.
- 197. Phoenix does not serve any compelling or even valid interest in a narrowly tailored way by infringing on the Art. 20, ¶ 1 rights of Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, or third parties.
- 198. Accordingly, as applied to Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib, § 18-4(B)(1-2) violates their right to freely exercise their religion as guaranteed by Art. 20, ¶ 1.
 - 199. Accordingly, facially and as applied to Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties,

§ 18-4(B)(3) violates their right to freely exercise their religion as guaranteed by Art. 20, \P 1.

Fourth Cause of Action

- 200. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each allegation contained in ¶ 1−176 of this Complaint.
- 201. Art. 2, § 13 of the Arizona Constitution guarantees the equal protection of the laws.
- 202. Section 18-4(B)(1-3) and Phoenix's enforcement of it treat Plaintiffs' religious and artistic speech and religious exercise differently from those similarly situated to Plaintiffs.
- 203. Phoenix's discriminatory enforcement of § 18-4(B)(1-3) violates Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib's fundamental rights, such as their freedom of speech, due process, and religious exercise.
- 204. Phoenix does not serve any compelling or even valid interest in a narrowly tailored way by infringing on the Art. 2, § 13 rights of Joanna, Breanna, or Brush & Nib.
- 205. As applied to Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib, § 18-4(B)(1-3) violates their right to equal protection of the laws as guaranteed by Art. 2, § 13.

Fifth Cause of Action

- 206. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each allegation contained in \P 1–176 of this Complaint.
- 207. Art. 2, § 4 of the Arizona Constitution guarantees persons the right to due process of law, which includes the right to own and operate a business, earn a livelihood free from unreasonable governmental interference, and not be subject to vague guidelines granting officials unbridled discretion.
- 208. Section 18-4(B)(1-2) unreasonably interferes with Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib's due process rights by threatening them with criminal penalties if they continue to operate their business in accordance with their religious and artistic convictions and by containing vague language granting unbridled discretion to enforcement officials.
- 209. If not for the vagueness in § 18-4(B)(3), Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties would immediately speak their desired messages.
- 210. Phoenix does not serve any compelling or even valid interest in a narrowly tailored way by infringing on the Art. 2, § 4 rights of Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, or third parties.
- 211. Accordingly, as applied to Joanna, Breanna, and Brush & Nib, § 18-4(B)(1-2) violates their right to due process as guaranteed by Art. 2, § 4.

212. Accordingly, facially and as applied to Joanna, Breanna, Brush & Nib, and third parties, § 18-4(B)(3) violates their right of due process as guaranteed by Art. 2, § 4.

THEREFORE, Plaintiffs ask the Court to grant the relief in the Prayer for Relief.

Prayer for Relief

Plaintiffs ask this Court to enter judgment against Defendant and to provide the following relief:

- 1. A temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction to stop Phoenix and any person acting in concert with it from enforcing § 18-4(B)(1-3) facially or as-applied to the constitutionally protected activities of third-parties and Plaintiffs;
- 2. A declaration that § 18-4(B)(1-3) violates the Arizona Constitution's Free Speech Clause, Religious Toleration Clause, Equal Protection Clause, Due Process Clause, and the Arizona Free Exercise of Religion Act facially and as-applied to the constitutionally protected activities of third-parties and Plaintiffs;
- 3. That the Court award \$1 of nominal damages to each Plaintiff as well as costs, expenses, and attorneys' fees for this action;
- 4. That this Court retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of enforcing its orders and that it adjudge, decree, and declare the rights and other legal relations of the parties to the subject matter here in controversy so that these declarations shall have the force and effect of final judgment;
- 5. That this Court issue the requested injunctive relief without a condition of bond or other security being required of Plaintiffs; and
 - 6. That the Court grant any other relief that it deems equitable and just in the circumstances.

| 1 | <u>Verification</u> | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | I, Joanna Duka, verify under penalty of perjury that I have read the above complaint and its contents. I | |
| 3 | also verify that, to the best of my knowledge and recollection, the matters stated in the complaint are | |
| 4 | true and correct, except for the matters stated on information and belief, and I believe these matters to | |
| 5 | be true. | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Executed this 1st day of July 2016. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | Janna & Dulo | |
| 10 | Joanna Duka | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Verification | |
| 14 | I, Breanna Koski, verify under penalty of perjury that I have read the above complaint and its contents | |
| 15 | I also verify that, to the best of my knowledge and recollection, the matters stated in the complaint are | |
| 16 | true and correct, except for the matters stated on information and belief, and I believe these matters to | |
| 17 | be true. | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | Executed this 1st day of July 2016. | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | Brown Volli | |
| 22 | Breanna Koski | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |

| 1 | Respectfully submitted this 1st day of July, 2016. |
|----|--|
| 2 | By: /s/ Jonathan A. Scruggs |
| 3 | Jonathan A. Scruggs |
| 4 | Jeremy D. Tedesco (Arizona Bar No. 023497) Jonathan A. Scruggs (Arizona Bar No. 030505) Roberta S. Livesay (Arizona Bar No. 010982) Livesay.roberta@hlwaz.com |
| 5 | Samuel D. Green (Arizona Bar No. 032586) Helm, Livesay & Worthington, LTD 1619 E. Guadalupe, Suite One |
| 6 | 15100 N. 90th Street Tempe, Arizona 85283 |
| 7 | Scottsdale, Arizona 85260 Telephone: (480) 345-9500 Telephone: (480) 444-0020 Fax: (480) 345-6559 |
| 8 | Facsimile: (480) 444-0028 |
| 9 | jtedesco@adflegal.org Attorneys for Plaintiffs |
| 10 | sgreen@adflegal.org |
| 11 | ORIGINAL e-filed this 1st day of July, 2016 with the Clerk of the Court. |
| 12 | 2010 with the Clerk of the Court. |
| 13 | COPIES to be served via courtesy email, a means consented to by Defendant: |
| 14 | David B. Rosenbaum Eric M. Fraser |
| 15 | Hayleigh S. Crawford OSBORN MALEDON, P.A. |
| 16 | 2929 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100 |
| 17 | Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone: (602) 640-9000 |
| 18 | Facsimile: (602) 640-9050 |
| 19 | Attorneys for Defendants |
| 20 | By: /s/ Jonathan A. Scruggs |
| 21 | Jonathan A. Scruggs |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |