

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

SECULAR STUDENT ALLIANCE, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 1:21-cv-00169-ABJ

**JOINT STATUS REPORT**

The Parties hereby submit the following status report in accordance with the Court's January 23, 2023 Minute Order:

1. The Secular Student Alliance ("SSA") and Mr. Declan Galli filed this suit on January 19, 2021, challenging a provision of the U.S. Department of Education's ("ED") rulemaking entitled "Direct Grant Programs, State-Administered Formula Grant Programs, Non Discrimination on the Basis of Sex in Education Programs or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance, Developing Hispanic-Serving Institutions Program, Strengthening Institutions Program, Strengthening Historically Black Colleges and Universities Program, and Strengthening Historically Black Graduate Institutions Program" ("the Rule"), 85 Fed. Reg. 59,916 (Sept. 23, 2020). ECF No. 1.
2. On January 20, 2021, new leadership assumed responsibility for ED.
3. ED previously informed undersigned counsel for Defendants that it is considering regulatory options related to the Rule that may moot or limit the issues in this litigation. *See* ECF Nos. 21, 22.
4. To preserve judicial resources and maximize the efficient resolution of this case, the

Parties jointly requested that the Court stay this case to allow Defendants time to consider regulatory options related to the Rule. The Court granted the Parties' motion to stay on April 21, 2021.

5. On August 19, 2021, ED published a blog post entitled "Update on the Free Inquiry Rule." <https://blog.ed.gov/2021/08/update-on-the-free-inquiry-rule/>. Therein, ED stated that, "[f]ollowing completion of [its] review" of the aspects of the Rule codified in 34 CFR parts 75 and 76, it "anticipate[s] publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking in the Federal Register to propose rescinding parts of the Free Inquiry Rule." *Id.*
6. In light of ED's stated intent to propose rescission of portions of the Rule, the Parties agreed that a further stay of this case was appropriate for an additional 60 days, and proposed that the Parties file a joint status report in 60 days to update the Court on ED's regulatory activities related to the Rule and the Parties' positions on whether the case should continue to be stayed. *See* ECF No. 24. That same day, the Court continued the stay and ordered another joint status report by October 19, 2021. *See* Minute Order (Aug. 20, 2021).
7. On October 19, the Parties filed a joint status report updating this Court on the status of ED's regulatory actions. *See* ECF No. 26. ED stated that it intended to publish an entry in the Fall 2021 Unified Agenda announcing ED's intent to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that will propose rescinding provisions of the Free Inquiry Rule. ED also stated that it was targeting a publication date of Spring 2022 for the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. In light of ED's anticipated regulatory actions, the Parties agreed that a further stay of this case was appropriate, and they requested that this Court continue the stay of these proceedings. *See* ECF No. 26. The Court did so and ordered

the Parties to submit another joint status report by February 18, 2022. *See* Minute Order (Oct. 20, 2021).

8. On February 18, 2022, the Parties filed a joint status report, updating the Court that ED had published an entry in the Fall 2021 Unified Agenda announcing ED's intent to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that will propose rescinding provisions of the Free Inquiry Rule and that it continued to make progress towards publication of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. *See* ECF No. 27. In light of ED's continued progress, the Parties asked the Court to continue the stay of proceedings, with the Parties filing another joint status report in 120 days. *Id.* The Court granted the request and ordered the Parties to submit a joint status report by June 28, 2022. *See* Minute Order (February 22, 2022).
9. On June 28, 2022, the Parties filed a joint status report, updating the Court that ED had continued to work on a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, including addressing comments received from the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs ("OIRA") and other agencies. The Parties also noted that ED is balancing drafting the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking with a number of other regulatory packages. In light of the Parties' report, the Court ordered that the case remained stayed and that the parties were to submit another joint status report by September 27, 2022. *See* Minute Order (June 29, 2022).
10. On September 27, 2022, the Parties proposed that the case remain stayed and another status report be filed in 90 days. The Court granted the request and ordered the Parties to file a joint status report by December 27, 2022. *See* Minute Order (September 28, 2022).

11. On December 19, 2022, OIRA concluded its original regulatory review of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.
12. On December 27, 2022, the Parties proposed that they file another joint status report in 21 days to update the Court on publication of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and the Parties' positions on whether the case should continue to be stayed. *See* ECF No. 31. On December 29, 2022, the Court entered a minute order requiring the Parties to submit a further joint status report on January 20, 2023.
13. On January 20, 2023, the Parties filed a joint status report informing the Court that ED made additional changes to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that necessitated further OIRA review, and that ED anticipated that OIRA's review would be complete within 30 days. *See* ECF No. 32. The Parties proposed that they file a joint status report in 30 days to update the Court on publication of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and the Parties' positions on whether the case should continue to be stayed. *Id.* On January 23, 2023, the Court entered a minute order requiring the Parties to submit a further status report on February 23, 2023.
14. On February 22, 2023, ED published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in the Federal Register entitled "Direct Grant Programs, State-Administered Formula Grant Programs," proposing to rescind the regulation challenged by Plaintiffs in this case. 88 Fed. Reg. 10,857. ED has asked for all comments by March 24, 2023. *Id.*
15. In light of ED's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the Parties agree that the case should remain stayed at this time. The Parties propose that they file a joint status report in 90 days to update the Court on the rulemaking process and the Parties' positions on whether the case should continue to be stayed.

Dated: February 23, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard B. Katskee

Richard B. Katskee (D.C. Bar No. 474250)  
Bradley Girard (D.C. Bar No. 1033743)  
AMERICANS UNITED FOR SEPARATION  
OF  
CHURCH AND STATE  
1310 L Street NW, Suite 200  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 466-3234  
katskee@au.org

Alison M. Gill (D.C. Bar No. 499620)  
AMERICAN ATHEISTS LEGAL CENTER  
1100 15th Street NW  
Fourth Floor  
Washington, DC 20005

Geoffrey T. Blackwell (D.D.C. Bar No.  
NJ029)  
AMERICAN ATHEISTS LEGAL CENTER  
1201 S. Courthouse Rd. #425  
Arlington, VA 22204

BRIAN M. BOYNTON  
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

CARLOTTA P. WELLS  
Assistant Branch Director

/s/ Rachael L. Westmoreland  
RACHAEL L. WESTMORELAND  
Trial Attorney (GA Bar No. 539498)  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch  
1100 L Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Tel: (202) 514-1280  
rachael.westmoreland@usdoj.gov